

2019학년도 수시모집 면접시험

EIC(동아시아국제학부)/GED(글로벌엘리트학부)

=====

※ You have 20 minutes for reviewing questions and preparing answers, and 10 minutes for interview.

【Question 1】50 points

A debate has been sparked over whether South Korean boy band BTS should be made exempt from military service, due to their achievements in music. All able-bodied Korean men are required by law to fulfil their military service for up to two years unless they are granted exemption. However, top spots for certain international sporting and arts competitions qualify the winner for exemption. Following South Korea's men's football team winning gold at the Asian Games this year, which granted them the right to skip military service, some public figures have begun to call for successful pop stars to receive the same treatment.

Prime minister Lee Nak-yeon appeared to suggest that the rules should be expanded to cover successful pop artists, when he urged the military to "come up with a more reasonable measure by reflecting the public's growing demands". Last year, an opposition lawmaker said the current exemptions, which exclude the achievements of bands such as BTS, were unfair: "If you win a classical music competition such as in violin or piano, military exemption is granted," he said. "However, winning a pop music competition, such as the Billboard albums chart, gets you nothing." BTS recently topped the Billboard 200 chart for the second time with their new album *Love Yourself: Answer*, and have been praised for bringing honour to South Korea and the K Pop industry. They also managed to break the record previously held by Taylor Swift for biggest music video debut on YouTube, with their video for "Idol". The visuals for the single racked up an astonishing 45m views in 24 hours.

The Korea Times reports that the ministry of national defence is being stubborn about changing its exemption rules and may even cut the number of exemptions it makes in future. "The Ministry of National Defence is considering abolishing the policy, but nothing specific has been confirmed about a timeline," it said in a statement.

(Question 1-1) Summarize this article. Who are granted the exemption from military service under current rules and what do people talk about BTS?

(Question 1-2) What is your opinion on the exemption from military service for winners in international sporting and arts competitions? Why do you think so?

《출제의도》

1-1 and 1-2 guidelines:

제시문 출처(source):

Independent (2018.9.5), “South Korea debates whether successful bands like BTS should be exempt from military service”

제시문 번역(translation):

한국 아이돌 그룹 BTS가 그들의 음악적 성취로 인해 병역 면제를 받아야 하는지에 대한 논쟁이 뜨겁다. 신체 건강한 대한민국 남성은 모두 최대 2년 가량 군복무를 마쳐야 한다. 하지만 특정 국제 스포츠 대회나 예술 경연의 최상위권 수상자의 경우 병역 면제가 부여된다. 올해 아시안게임에서 우승한 대한민국 축구 국가대표 선수들이 병역 면제를 받은 이후, 대중가수들에게도 병역 혜택이 주어져야 한다는 요구가 점점 증하고 있다. 이에 대해 이낙연 국무총리는 대중들의 요구를 반영하는 보다 합리적인 개선책을 마련할 것을 국방부에 지시하였는데, 이는 향후 병역 혜택이 대중가수들에게로도 확대될 수 있는 가능성을 열어둔 것으로 해석된다. 한 야당 국회의원은 작년에 BTS 같은 아이돌 그룹의 성취를 인정하지 않는 현행 병역 면제 기준은 불합리하다고 문제제기를 한 바 있다. 그에 의하면 “바이올린이나 피아노 등 클래식 음악 경연대회에서의 우승자에게는 병역 면제가 부여되는 반면 빌보드 앨범차트 1위 등 대중음악 부분에서의 뛰어난 성취에는 아무런 병역 혜택이 주어지지 않는다.” BTS는 최근에 발표한 앨범 Love Yourself: Answer로 빌보드 200 차트에서 두 번째 1위를 차지하며 K-pop의 위상을 높이며 국위선양에 크게 기여했다는 찬사를 받고 있다. 또한 BTS의 “Idol” 뮤직비디오는 발표 24시간 만에 4500만 조회수를 기록하며 Taylor Swift가 가지고 있던 기존 YouTube 최다조회 데뷔 기록을 경신했다. The Korea Times에 의하면 현재 국방부는 병역 면제 규정 완화에 소극적이며 오히려 면제자 수를 줄이는 방향으로 갈 수도 있다고 한다. 이 신문에 의하면 “아직 구체적인 건 없지만 국방부는 병역 면제를 폐지하는 것도 고려하고 있다”고 한다.

Current military exemption rules in sports and arts: Medalist in Olympics, gold medalist in Asian Games, first- and second-place in international arts and dancing competition, first-place in domestic arts competition, etc.

《평가기준》

1-1:

Good answer: The student clearly understands the main issues of the article and fully introduces them. The student also clearly explains who are granted exemption and what people talk about BTS.

Summary:

- Pop stars should receive exemption; more reasonable measure is needed to reflect public's growing demands; exemption should be expanded to pop music competition such as the Billboard albums chart, in addition to classical music competition or international sports competition.
- Ministry of national defence doesn't like the expansion of exemption. It may even cut the number of exemption.

Who are granted the exemption from military service under current rules?

- The article says: "All able-bodied Korean men are required by law to fulfil their military service for up to two years unless they are granted exemption. However, top spots for certain international sporting and arts competitions qualify the winner for exemption. Following South Korea's men's football team winning gold at the Asian Games this year, which granted them the right to skip military service ..."

What do people talk about BTS?

"the rules should be expanded to cover successful pop artists"

"the current exemptions, which exclude the achievements of bands such as BTS, were unfair"

"BTS recently topped the Billboard 200 chart for the second time ... and have been praised for bringing honour to South Korea and the K Pop industry."

1-2:

Good answer: The student clearly expresses his/her opinion on current exemption rules regarding sports and arts.

- Current rules are good:

Winners in international sports/classical music/dancing competitions enhance national prestige; they will lose their competitiveness when they serve in the military; they invested huge amount of time and energy so they deserve reward for their success; current policy is reasonable and making a clear standard of exemption in popular music is difficult; changing current policy is difficult, as bringing people's consensus is difficulty, etc.

- Current rules should be modified:

Exemption should include pop stars like BTS because they significantly enhance national prestige, much more than winners in international sports competition or classical music or dancing competition; Current policy is too strict; pop stars should also be considered exemption.

All sports or pop stars should be exempt from military service on condition that they pay a significant amount of their income as tax.

No exemption for one-time winner in international competition.

Athletes and singers should be allowed to fulfill military service after their retirement as specialists in their field (e.g. soccer coach for youths).

- No exemption at all:

Time changed, and medals in Olympics don't mean enhancement of national prestige. Though enhance national prestige, they basically pursue personal goals and profits. Exemption is against social fairness, so should be abolished.

Now it's time to start volunteer military system.

【Question 2】50 points

<A> Driverless vehicles are being developed by some of the biggest names in American technology and manufacturing. Waymo, formerly Google’s self-driving car project, also is very active in Arizona, ferrying ordinary residents around with no backup human driver behind the wheel. Many major automakers, General Motors, Ford, Toyota, Volvo, and Tesla among them - are at various stages of developing, deploying or testing autonomous technologies. In all, 50 manufacturers have secured permits from California regulators to test autonomous cars in that state with safety chaperones behind the wheel. Next month, new regulations will take effect in California allowing technology developers to apply to test and deploy cars without a human behind the wheel if they meet various safety, notification and other criteria.

 In early November, a self-driving shuttle and a delivery truck collided in Las Vegas. The event, in which no one was injured and no property was seriously damaged, attracted media and public attention in part because one of the vehicles was driving itself - and because that shuttle had been operating for only less than an hour before the crash. It’s not the first collision involving a self-driving vehicle. Other crashes have involved Ubers in Arizona, a Tesla in “autopilot” mode in Florida and several others in California. But in nearly every case, it was human error, not the self-driving car, that caused the problem. In Las Vegas, the self-driving shuttle noticed a truck up ahead was backing up, and stopped and waited for it to get out of the shuttle’s way. But the human truck driver didn’t see the shuttle, and kept backing up. As the truck got closer, the shuttle didn’t move - forward or back - so the truck grazed the shuttle’s front bumper.

(Question 2-1) Summarize <A> and . Explain <A>’s and ’s views of self-driving car.
(<A>와 를 요약하시오. 자율주행차에 대한 <A>와 의 견해를 설명하시오.)

(Question 2-2) Are you skeptical or supportive of self-driving car? Why do you think so?
(자율주행차 운행을 찬성하는지 아니면 이에 대해 회의적인지 본인의 의견을 말하고 그 이유를 설명하시오.)

《출제의도》

2-1 and 2-2 guidelines:

제시문 출처(source):

[A]: *The Washington Post* (2018.3.19), “Self-driving Uber vehicle strikes and kills pedestrian”

[B]: *The Conversation* (2017.11.28), “Redefining ‘safety’ for self-driving cars”

제시문 번역(translation):

<A> 무인자동차는 미국에서 첨단기술과 제조분야의 굴지의 기업들에 의해 한창 개발되고 있다. Google의 자율주행차 프로젝트인 Waymo 역시 Arizona에서 매우 활발한데, 운전석에 운전사를

탑승시키지 않은 채 일반 주민들을 여기저기로 실어 나른다. 현재 General Motors, Ford, Toyota, Volvo, Tesla 등 주요 자동차 회사들은 자율주행 기술을 개발, 배치 혹은 테스트 중이다. California에서는 50개 제조사가 운전석에 안전요원을 두고 자율주행을 테스트할 수 있는 허가를 받았고, 다음 달에는 안전, 경보 등과 관련된 기준들을 충족하면 운전석을 비운 채 자율주행 테스트가 가능해진다.

 자율주행 셔틀과 화물 트럭이 부딪히는 사고가 11월 초에 Las Vegas에서 발생했다. 아무도 다치지 않았고 재산상 손해도 그다지 없었지만 이 사고는 언론을 비롯해 사람들의 주목을 끌었다. 그 이유는 사고 당시 셔틀이 자율주행 중이었고 자율주행을 시작한 지 1시간도 채 지나지 않아 사고가 났기 때문이다. 이 사고는 자율주행차의 첫 번째 사고가 아니다. Arizona에서는 Uber 차의 사고가 있었고 Florida에서는 자동주행모드 Tesla 차의 사고가 있었으며 California에서도 비슷한 사고들이 있었다. 거의 모든 경우에 있어서 이런 사고들의 원인은 자율주행차가 아닌 사람에게 있었다. 이번 Las Vegas에서 발생한 사고의 경우, 자율주행 셔틀은 트럭이 후진하며 다가오는 것을 인지하고는 바로 정지한 후 트럭이 앞에서 사라지기를 기다렸다. 그러나 트럭 운전자는 셔틀을 보지 못한 채 계속 후진을 했다. 트럭이 가까이 다가오자 셔틀은 그대로 멈춰 섰고 트럭은 셔틀 앞부분 범퍼를 긁었다.

《평가기준》

2-1:

Good answer: the student fully understands both [A] and [B] and summarizes them. In addition, his/her explanation of [A]'s and [B]'s views is good.

2-2:

Good answer: the student clearly, convincingly, and fully expresses his/her own opinion.

- Supportive:

Liberation from driving car; saving time for driving and spending time more productively while moving

Much safer than human driving; self-driving car's accident is mostly from human error; no more drink-driving

Less car is needed in society; saving space for roads and parking; saving fuel; less environmental pollution; more eco-friendly

Old people and handicapped people can use car because they don't have to drive.

- Skeptical

Self-driving car is not perfect; it has flaws; it's not safe to use a self-driving car especially when snowing or raining heavily; it's difficult for a self-driving car to promptly adjust itself to constantly changing road condition.

Computer system error can happen; vulnerable to hacking; sensor/radar malfunction can happen; these can lead to a fatal car accident.

Invasion of privacy because of its internet-based monitoring of where to go

When accident, it's not clear to decide who is responsible - car manufacturer, self-driving system provider, human being, or car insurance company?

Human being should be in charge; technology cannot be perfect.